

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,162	10/06/2003	Chih-Hsiang Yang	3126-429 5730	
7590 04/13/2006			EXAMINER	
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC 5205 LEESBURG PIKE SUITE 1404 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			MAI, TRI M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A.4' Occurrence	10/678,162	YANG, CHIH-HSIANG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tri M. Mai	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEL	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accom	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in Appli	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/678,162

Art Unit: 3727

1. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is only one cable that is bent in a U shape section in order to go through portion 24. It is unclear how can portions 24 can accommodate two cables as claimed.

2. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term steel cable is incorrect. "cable" refers to elongate objects that does not have any rigidity along its longitudinal direction.

3. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (6682027) in view of Cheng (6386362) Cheng teaches a bag having top opening with a pivotal section, supporting arms having fist end pivotally connected to the pivotal section, embedding element, and upholding post 82 pivotally disposed inside a socket 50. Chang teaches that it is known in the art to provide a stand structure having the steel cables and the fixing plate and embedding element 24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the two steels cables and the fixing plate connected to the center sections of the two steels cables to provide an alternative actuating means for deploying the supports of the golf bag.

Regarding claim 5, the blocking element in Cheng is either portion 52 (it does limit any movement of the socket laterally, or wall 15 of the bottom.

Regarding claim 6, note the lower opening 80 in Cheng.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,162

Art Unit: 3727

To the degree it is argued that Cheng does not teach the lower opening, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to lower the opening to provide the desired location for inserting the actuating members since it is well known art to rearrange parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art, see In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA) 1950.

- 4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Chang rejection, as set forth above, and further in view of the admitted Prior Art. To the degree it is argued the Chang combination does not teach the two cables, the admitted Prior art teaches two cables 15, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use two cables as taught by the admitted Prior art to provide an alternative resilient means.
- 5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Chang rejection, as set forth above, and further in view of the admitted Cheng (6564937) or Han (6098797). To the degree it is argued that the Chang combination does not teach the lower opening, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the lower opening as taught by either Cheng '937 or Han to provide the desired location for inserting the actuating members
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

 Applicant asserts that the combination does not teach a bending portion pivotally connected to the fixed portion by the bending cable. The examiner submits that the portion 24 in Cheng is the pivoting point for the two cables as claimed. With respect to the two steel cables, it is noted that the claimed two cables must be bend to enable it to go through portion 24 as claimed, as shown in Fig. 6 and 7. Applicant's "two steel cables" is the same as the resilient portion 64 in Cheng. There are no structural differences between the two steel cables and the cable in Cheng. With

Application/Control Number: 10/678,162

Art Unit: 3727

respect to the cable connected at the first end, it is noted that the term "end" is broad and encompassing at least 50 percent of the length. Thus, the cable in Cheng is connected to the first end of the supporting arms as claimed. With respect to the lower opening, it is noted that the term lower opening does not imply the opening is on the lower portion of the bag. In the very least the opening in Cheng is on lower than at opening portion of the bag 14. With respect to the blocking element, portions 52 in Chang or the wall at 15 are the blocking element as claimed since the term "blocking element" is broad. In the very least portions 52 blocks the two side portions of the pivoting socket.

Furthermore, it is noted that it is well known in this art to substitute one type of retractable stand for another type of retractable stand. Thus, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the retractable stand in Cheng 362 for the retractable in Chang '027 to provide an alternative retractable stand system.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/678,162 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571)272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tri M. Mai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727